## Dynamic Scope and Context-oriented Programming

Christian Neukirchen Editor in Chief of Anarchaia

Euruko 2005

#### Overview

- Dynamic scope
- Context-oriented Programming
- Implementing ContextR
- "Surprise"

## Chapter I Dynamic Scope

Review: Lexical Scope def adder(n) # lexical scope  $\vee = 0$ lambda { v += n } # closure end

add\_one = adder 1
p add\_one.call # => 1
p add\_one.call # => 2
p add\_one.call # => 3

## Comparision

Lexical Scope: Variables are looked up in the binding they were defined. Dynamic Scope: Variables are looked up dynamically, in the current binding (not the defining one).

### Lexical lookup



### Global lookup



## Dynamic lookup

```
Dynamic.variable :x
def a
 Dynamic.let :x => 1 do
   b
   Dynamic.let :x => 2 do c end \# 3 1 2
 end
end
def b
 Dynamic.let :x => 3 do c end
  С
end
def c
 print Dynamic[:x]
end
```

### Scope diagram



## Using Dynamic Scope

• Parametrization:

- STDIN, STDOUT (think ERb + puts)
- Passing objects around without explicit mention
  - Dissident, my DI container, stores the currently active containers in a dynamic variable

## Using dynamic.rb

require 'dynamic'

Dynamic.variable :eur2usd\_factor => 1.3068

```
def eur2usd(euro)
    euro * Dynamic.eur2usd_factor
end
```

р	eur2usd(10)	# =>	13.068
р	eur2usd(0.77)	# =>	1.006236

p eur2usd(10)

# => 13.068

#### Implementation

• Dynamic variables are stored globally accessible.

Dynamic.let is roughly:

 old = Dynamic[variable]
 Dynamic[variable] = new
 yield
 Dynamic[variable] = old

 Using the Ruby stack to keep track of previous definitions

#### Implementation

- Dynamic variables, not "real" dynamic scope.
- Dynamic scope is easy to implement in C
  - Local variable infrastructure can be reused.
- Would make a good addition to future Ruby versions. (Now, fight about a sigil!)

## Chapter II Context-oriented Programming

#### The idea

Imagine you can't only dynamically scope variables, but also methods.

## Layering Methods

- Methods sometimes need to fulfill several concerns:
  - Logging
  - Data validation
  - Database handling (connecting, transactions)

## Defining layered methods

- Methods have several "slices":
  - a "core"
  - hooks to run before
  - hooks to run after
  - hooks to wrap the core
- Comparable to AOP
- More are imaginable, but not implemented yet

pre		
pre		
wrap		
wrap		
core		
noct		
post		
post		

## Website Example

Security

Web

Database

Check credentials

Validate input

Ensure database connection

Transaction

Debit

Logging

Web

Log as successful

Redirect user to homepage

class Website
 layer :security
 layer :web
 layer :database
 layer :logging

def debit; ...; end
end

class Website security.pre :debit do check\_credentials end web.pre :debit do validate\_input end end

class Website database.wrap :debit do Inl connect\_to\_database n.call\_next ensure close\_database end database.wrap :debit do Inl transaction { n.call\_next } end end

class Website logging.post :debit do Inl log "Debit successful: " <<</pre> n.return\_value end web.post :debit do redirect\_back\_home end end

# Configuring the Application

# Development ContextR.with\_layers :web, :database, :logging do Website.new end

# Configuring the Application

# Unit testing ContextR.with\_layers :mock\_db do...

## Comparision to AOP

- Some may know these ideas from "Aspectoriented Programming"...
- ...but Context-oriented Programming is more:
  - The program can be reconfigured completely **at runtime.**

## Reconfiguration for testing:

def test\_logging
 ContextR.with\_layers :logging do
 assert\_logged ...
 end
end

ContextR.with\_layers :mock\_db do
 run\_tests
end

## More usages

 Layers also can be defined in Modules:
 Mix-in and ducktyping allow for boundless extensibility

 Generic User Interfaces (Naked Objects on steroids)

• • • •

## Chapter III Implementing ContextR

#### Implementation

- ContextR was written in about four hours this week.
- API inspired by ContextL, written by Pascal Constanza (see references).
- 281 LoC + 171 LoC for dynamic variables.
- Proof-of-Concept, but not ugly.

## Implementing compound methods

- Compund methods are implemented using "salami tactics"
  - Each method gets split up into lots of smaller methods
  - A driver method figures which to call...
  - ...and what to do with the results.

```
Rough translation of
 the Website example
def debit
 _debit_pre_00001_;_debit_pre_00002_
 _debit_wrap_00003_ {
   _debit_wrap_00004_ {
     r = \_debit\_core\_00005\_
   }
 }
 _debit_post_00006_;debit_post_00007_
 r
end
```

#### Implementation

• In reality, it does more:

- Check for active layers
- Keep track of arguments and return values
- Allow for premature exits
- Fully dynamic, for now

#### Limitations

Most severe limitation in Ruby <1.9</li>
Blocks can't take blocks as arguments
Blocks are used heavily in ContextR
ContextR can't pass blocks to slices
No problem to do in Ruby >=1.9

## Performance of ContextR

• In one word: horrible.

- Method calls are up to 200x slower.
- You can stop laughing now.
  - Optimization is possible...

## Ideas for optimizing ContextR

- "Compilation" of methods by generating a string that calls the method slices
- Caching generated methods by active contexts
- "Deoptimization"
  - Redefining all affected methods on context changes (heavily depends on the way ContextR is used).

## Ideas for optimizing ContextR

- Hoping that YARV will be more efficient to enable above techniques in an useful way.
- "It's just method calls."

## Chapter IV "Surprise, surprise"

## Using ContextR to implement...

## Namespace Selectors

## I live "behind the moon", what are they?

- First introduced by Matz at RubyConf 2004
- To appear in Ruby 2.0
- Solving an "old" problem of Ruby
  - "How can I change Ruby's core methods without breaking other code?"

## ContextR Namespaces: Declaration

class Array
namespace :foo do
 def mungle
 zip(reverse).flatten
 end
 end
end

## ContextR Namespaces: Usage

class Foo namespace :foo

```
def initialize
    p [1,2,3].mungle
    end
end
```

## ContextR Namespaces: Trying...

Foo.new # [1, 3, 2, 2, 3, 1]
[1,2,3].mungle rescue p \$!
# ~> #<NoMethodError: undefined
method `mungle' for 123:Array
(only in :namespace\_foo)>

## Implementation of ContextR Namespaces

• Each namespace gets a layer

- namespace(symbol) makes the default layer wrap all methods with appropriate with\_layers calls
  - using method\_added

## Implementation of ContextR Namespaces

- namespace(symbol, &block) defines a layer on method\_added, activates it, and class\_evals the block to automatically claim all the methods defined in the block.
- This probably qualifies as hack. : ^)

## Summary:

• ~680 LoC written in about six hours total

- Possible to implement ~97% (estimated) of CLOS in pure Ruby
  - Lacking const\_defined, e.g.
- Not a single use of eval(string)
- Loads of fun

## Question::Time === Time.now



#### References

 "Language Constructs for Context-oriented Programming—An Overview of ContextL" by Pascal Costanza and Robert Hirschfeld

http://p-cos.net/documents/contextl-overview.pdf

 "Dynamically Scoped Functions as the Essence of AOP" by Pascal Costanza.

http://p-cos.net/documents/dynfun.pdf

<u>http://chneukirchen.org/blog/archive/2005/04/</u>
 <u>dynamic-variables-in-ruby.html</u>

#### Thanks to...

- Mauricio Fernández for telling me l already was half-way done implementing namespaces and helping me polishing the slides.
- #ruby-lang on freenode for help in deepest metaprogramming dungeons.
- You, following this talk until the end.

#### On the web:

#### http://chneukirchen.org/talks/euruko-2005



## History of Dynamic Scope

- Used by default in old Lisps
  - Lisp 1.5
  - MacLisp
  - Emacs Lisp
- Still provided and used by modern Lisps
  - "special variables" (defvar)

